# Grid Cells and Theta as Oscillatory Interference: Electrophysiological Data From Freely Moving Rats

A. Jeewajee,<sup>1,2,3</sup> C. Barry,<sup>1,3,4</sup> J. O'Keefe,<sup>3</sup> and N. Burgess<sup>1,5\*</sup>

ABSTRACT: The oscillatory interference model (Burgess et al. (2007) Hippocampus 17:801-812) explains the generation of spatially stable, regular firing patterns by medial entorhinal cortical (mEC) grid cells in terms of the interference between velocity-controlled oscillators (VCOs) with different preferred directions. This model predicts specific relationships between the intrinsic firing frequency and spatial scale of grid cell firing, the EEG theta frequency, and running speed (Burgess, 2008). Here, we use spectral analyses of EEG and of spike autocorrelograms to estimate the intrinsic firing frequency of grid cells, and the concurrent theta frequency, in mEC Layer II in freely moving rats. The intrinsic firing frequency of grid cells increased with running speed and decreased with grid scale, according to the quantitative prediction of the model. Similarly, theta frequency increased with running speed, which was also predicted by the model. An alternative Moiré interference model (Blair et al., 2007) predicts a direction-dependent variation in intrinsic firing frequency, which was not found. Our results suggest that interference between VCOs generates the spatial firing patterns of entorhinal grid cells according to the oscillatory interference model. They also provide specific constraints on this model of grid cell firing and have more general implications for viewing neuronal processing in terms of interfering oscillatory processes. © 2008 Wiley-Liss, Inc.

KEY WORDS: hippocampus; entorhinal cortex; grid cell; theta; EEG; navigation; computational modeling; membrane potential oscillations

# INTRODUCTION

The amazingly regular spatial distribution of firing shown by grid cells recorded in Layer II of the medial entorhinal cortex (mEC) of freely moving rats (Hafting et al., 2005; Moser and Moser, 2008) has prompted a search for the underlying physiological mechanism. Current models either emphasize oscillatory properties of the hippo-

A.J. and C.B. contributed equally to this work.

\*Correspondence to: N. Burgess, Institute of Cognitive Neuroscience, University College London (UCL), 17 Queen Square, London WC1N 3AR, United Kingdom. E-mail: n.burgess@ucl.ac.uk

Accepted for publication 2 September 2008

DOI 10.1002/hipo.20510

Published online 19 November 2008 in Wiley InterScience (www. interscience.wiley.com).

campal formation (O'Keefe and Burgess, 2005; Blair et al., 2007; Burgess et al., 2007) or recurrent connectivity (Fuhs and Touretzky, 2006; McNaughton et al., 2006). The theoretical issues surrounding the oscillatory interference model of grid cell firing (Burgess et al., 2007) and the experimentally testable predictions it makes are made clear in (Burgess, 2008). Here, we examine the predictions of that model for extracellular recording in freely moving rats.

The EEG of freely moving rats is dominated by the movement-related theta rhythm, a strong oscillation in the range 7-11 Hz in adult rats [see (Buzsaki, 2002; O'Keefe, 2006) for reviews]. The oscillatory interference model predicted that the firing of Layer II grid cells should be modulated in the theta band, but at a slightly higher frequency than the on-going theta rhythm (O'Keefe and Burgess, 2005; Burgess et al., 2007), so that they would show 'theta phase precession' similar to that found in place cells (O'Keefe and Recce, 1993). More specifically (Burgess, 2008), the model predicts that the frequency of firing rate modulation [the 'intrinsic firing frequency',  $f_i(t)$ ] of the grid cell will depend upon the frequency of the theta rhythm while stationary,  $f_0$  (see below), the running speed of the rat, s(t), and the spatial scale of the firing pattern, G, according to:

$$\langle f_{\mathbf{i}}(t) \rangle_{\phi(t)} = f_0 + \frac{2(\pi+1)}{\sqrt{3}\pi G} s(t),$$
 (1)

where  $\langle \cdot \rangle_{\phi(t)}$  denotes the average over all directions of movement,  $\phi(t)$ , during the rat's exploration, and  $f_0$  is the theta frequency extrapolated to zero running speed. The intrinsic firing frequency  $f_i(t)$  is estimated from the power spectrum of the spike train autocorrelogram. The model also predicts a linear relationship between theta frequency and running speed:

$$f_{\theta}(s(t)) = f_0 + \langle \beta \rangle s(t), \qquad (2)$$

thus,  $f_0$  can be estimated as the intercept of the plot of theta frequency versus running speed. The meaning of the constant  $\langle \beta \rangle$  is explained below.

These predictions are tested in Figures 2 and 4, while Figure 5 tests the predictions of an alternative, spatial, interference model (Blair et al., 2007). In the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Institute of Cognitive Neuroscience, University College London (UCL), London, United Kingdom; <sup>2</sup> Centre for Mathematics and Physics in the Life Sciences and Experimental Biology, University College London (UCL), London, United Kingdom; <sup>3</sup> Department of Cell and Developmental Biology, University College London (UCL), London, United Kingdom; <sup>4</sup> Institute of Behavioural Neuroscience, University College London (UCL), London, United Kingdom; <sup>5</sup> Institute of Neurology, University College London (UCL), London, University College London (UCL), London, United Kingdom

remainder of the 'Introduction' section, we briefly describe the oscillatory interference model and its predictions [see (Burgess, 2008) for details].

# The Oscillatory Interference Model

The oscillatory interference model generalizes the 1D interference model originally proposed to explain the theta phase precession effect seen in hippocampal place cells (O'Keefe and Recce, 1993; Lengyel et al., 2003) and recently also documented in Entorhinal Layer II stellate cells (Hafting et al., 2008). The effect consists of action potentials being fired at systematically earlier phases of theta as the animal moves through the cell's firing field (or fields) so that firing phase reflects the distance traveled through the field (O'Keefe and Recce, 1993; Burgess et al., 1994; Skaggs et al., 1996; Jensen and Lisman, 2000). The 1D model assumes the cell's membrane potential to be the sum of two components: an oscillatory input at a baseline frequency,  $f_{\rm b}(t)$ , related to the EEG theta rhythm, and an active membrane potential oscillation (MPO) whose frequency,  $f_a(t)$ , increases above the baseline frequency as the synaptic input to the cell increases. The synaptic input to the place cell is assumed to be proportional to running speed so that the frequency difference is proportional to running speed, i.e.,  $f_a(t) - f_b(t) = \beta s(t)$ , where s is speed and  $\beta$  is a constant. In this way, the phase of the MPO relative to the baseline oscillation will be proportional to distance traveled, since phase is the time integral of frequency (see Fig. 1a). Evidence consistent with this model includes voltage-dependent intrinsic oscillations in hippocampal pyramidal cells (Kamondi et al., 1998) and place cells whose intrinsic firing frequencies vary with running speed (Geisler et al., 2007) and with the size of the firing field (Maurer et al., 2005). See (O'Keefe and Burgess, 2005; Burgess et al., 2007; Burgess, 2008) for further discussion.

The 2D model (Burgess et al., 2007; Burgess, 2008) assumes that grid cells are driven by multiple 'velocity-controlled oscillators (VCOs).' Each VCO has an MPO frequency,  $f_a(t)$ , which increases above the baseline frequency,  $f_b(t)$ , due to depolarization by a synaptic input proportional to the component of movement velocity in a preferred direction  $\phi_d$ , i.e.

$$f_{\rm b}(t) = f_{\rm b}(t) + \beta s(t) \cos((\phi(t) - \phi_{\rm d}), \qquad (3)$$

where  $\phi(t)$  and s(t) are the direction and speed of motion and  $\beta$  is a constant. Each VCO corresponds to a different dendritic subunit or afferent cell [see also (Hasselmo, 2008)]. The phase of a VCO relative to baseline signals distance traveled in the preferred direction. This is because the phase difference between the active and baseline oscillations is the time integral of their frequency difference, which is proportional to running speed in the preferred direction [see Eq. (3)].

To ensure that VCOs only increase their frequency in response to excitatory synaptic input indicating speed and direction, we assume

$$f_{\rm a}(s(t), \ \phi(t), \ \beta, \ \phi_{\rm d}) = f_0 + \beta s(t)(1 + \cos\{\phi(t) - \phi_{\rm d}\}), \ (4)$$

where  $f_0$  is a common minimum frequency for all VCOs when receiving no input (i.e., when speed is zero). The baseline oscillation corresponds to the average frequency of all local VCOs (i.e., the average over all preferred directions of  $f_a$ ), i.e.

$$f_{\rm b}(s(t), \ \beta) = \langle f_{\rm a} \rangle_{\phi_{\rm a}} = f_0 + \beta s(t). \tag{5}$$

A Layer II grid cell is assumed to receive inputs from six VCOs with different preferred directions,  $\phi_d$ , differing by multiples of 60°, and to be driven by those VCOs whose preferred directions are within 90° of the current direction of motion. See Figure 1b and Burgess (2008) for further explanation.

The model predicts that the distance between adjacent grid nodes, G, is proportional to running speed divided by the difference in active and baseline frequencies, and that this will be a fixed value set by  $\beta$ :

$$G = 2/\sqrt{3\beta}.$$
 (6)

This follows from the fact that the difference in frequencies is itself proportional to running speed (i.e., from the fact that  $G = s(t)/(f_a(t) - f_b(t))$  and, from Eq. (3),  $f_a(t) - f_b(t) = \beta s(t)\sqrt{3/2}$  when running between adjacent grid nodes, which are 30° offset from the preferred direction of the linear interference patterns, see Fig. 1b).

Equation 1 comes from Eq. (4), substituting for  $\beta$  using Eq. (6), averaging over the frequencies ( $f_a$ ) of the local VCO inputs driving grid cell firing (i.e., those with preferred directions within 90° of the current direction of movement) and taking account of their interaction with the baseline frequency input [see (Burgess, 2008)]. The global average frequency of all VCOs in mEC is identified with the movement-related theta rhythm,  $f_{\theta}(t)$ . This gives Eq. (2), averaging Eq. (5) over all values of  $\beta$ , which is assumed to decrease dorsoventrally to produce the observed dorsoventral increase in grid scale (Hafting et al., 2005; Brun et al., 2008) [see Eq. (6)]. Thus,  $\langle \beta \rangle$  is the mean  $\beta$  found throughout the dorsoventral extent of the mEC.

The appropriate set of directional inputs (i.e., with directions separated by multiples of  $60^{\circ}$ ) is assumed to result from a large-scale self-organizing developmental process, since concurrently recorded cells all have grids with the same orientation (Barry et al., 2007). The presence of a velocity-dependent synaptic input is consistent with speed-modulated 'head-direction' responses recorded in mEC (Sargolini et al., 2006). Evidence





FIGURE 1. The oscillatory interference model. (a) 1-D model. Two oscillations a and b differ in frequency by an amount proportional to running speed, s(t), so that  $f_a(t) = f_b(t)$ +  $\beta s(t)$ , where  $\beta$  is a constant. Thus, the phase of oscillation a relative to oscillation b varies with distance traveled. When combined, their interference pattern varies in amplitude as they go in and out of phase with each other. The distance between peaks in the interference pattern (where both are in phase) remains constant whether the rat runs fast (above) or slow (below), producing spatially constant firing when the rat runs along a linear track (middle). (b) 2-D model. The frequency of a velocity controlled oscillator [VCO, frequency  $f_a(t)$  increases above a baseline frequency  $f_{\rm b}(t)$  by an amount proportional to the component of running velocity in a 'preferred' direction,  $\phi_d$ , so that  $f_a(t) =$  $f_b(t) + \beta s(t)\cos(\phi(t) - \phi_d)$ , where  $\phi(t)$  is the current heading direction [top; NB in this model  $f_b$  also increases with running speed:  $f_b(t) = f_0 + \beta s(t)$ , where  $f_0$  is a common minimum frequency for all oscillations]. Thus, the phase of the VCO relative

to the baseline oscillation varies with the distance traveled along its preferred direction, irrespective of the trajectory taken by the rat. The locations of the peaks of a VCO (dots representing spikes, superimposed on a gray line representing the rat's trajectory) colored by their phase relative to baseline, are shown as a simulated rat forages in a cylindrical arena (middle). Grid cell firing is generated by combining the inputs from six VCOs with preferred directions ( $\phi_{d1}$  to  $\phi_{d6}$ ) differing by multiples of 60°. The grid cell (GC) membrane potential performs leaky integration of its inputs, thus acting as a coincidence detector, and is also modulated by the baseline oscillation (wavy line). Spikes are fired at locations where the VCO inputs are sufficiently similar in phase, during the positive phases of the baseline input (outlined by black circles; middle and below). The firing of VCO inputs to a Layer II grid cell (shown) are assumed to be directionally modulated. See main text and Burgess (2008) for details. Adapted from Burgess (2008). [Color figure can be viewed in the online issue, which is available at www.interscience.wiley.com.]

for the model from intracellular recording includes the voltagedependent intrinsic oscillations in medial entorhinal stellate cells (Alonso and Klink, 1993). The frequency of the intrinsic MPO of these cells increases with depolarization, and the slope of this relationship ( $\beta$ , above) decreases with the dorsoventral location of the slice so as to match the increase in grid scale *G*, following Eq. (6) [see (Giocomo et al., 2007; Giocomo and Hasselmo, 2008)].

# METHODS

# Animals and Surgery

Data from seven male Long Evans rats (animals 1-7) and six male Lister Hooded rats (animals 8-13; 250-470 g at implantation) are reported in this study. Recordings from animals (1-7) have previously been reported in (Fyhn et al., 2007), and these data were kindly provided by those authors for analysis here. A further three animals were reported in (Barry et al., 2007); complete details of surgery and animal housing can be found therein. Three additional animals (11-13) received mEC microdrives according to the same protocol (Barry et al., 2007). Briefly, in this protocol, each animal received a single microdrive loaded with four tetrodes (Recce and O'Keefe, 1989) of twisted 17-25 µm HM-L-coated platinum-iridium wire (90-10%) (California Fine Wire, USA). Electrodes were implanted above the right dorsolateral mEC, 4.5 mm lateral to the midline, 0.2-0.5 mm anterior to the sinus, angled forwards in the sagittal plane at  $8-10^{\circ}$ , and to a depth of 1.0-2.5 mm. The most anterior screw on the right side was used as a ground electrode. All experiments were performed in accordance with relevant ethics committee approval and national legislation [e.g., the UK Animal (Scientific Procedures) Act 1986].

#### **Recording and Behavioral Training**

Training and screening was performed postsurgically. Axona recording systems (Axona, St Albans, UK) were used to acquire the single unit, EEG, and positional data from all rats. The local field potentials recorded from each of the 16 channels were passed through a RC-coupled, unity-gain operational amplifier mounted on the animal's head and fed to the recording system using lightweight wires. For single units, each channel was amplified 10,000-40,000 times, bandpass-filtered (500 Hz-7 kHz) and recorded differentially against a channel on a separate tetrode. Spikes exceeding a trigger threshold were sampled at 48 kHz (50 samples from each of four channels) and time stamped with a 96 kHz clock signal. A single channel was used to record EEG; the signal was amplified 8,000-20,000 times, band-pass filtered at 0.34-125 Hz, and sampled at 250 Hz. The position of the rat was captured using an overhead video camera to record the position of one or two LEDs on the animal's head-stage amplifier. The image was digitized and sampled at a rate of 50 Hz, to identify the rat's trajectory, which was smoothed with a 500 ms window. Running speed and direction were inferred from the change in position between adjacent time points. Prior to recording, tetrodes were advanced in 50-100 µm steps until multiple large-amplitude units were obtained. This process took place in the experimental room while animals remained in an elevated holding area. Animals were returned to their home cage for at least 4 h between screening sessions.

Entorhinal activity was recorded while animals foraged in a 100 cm  $\times$  100 cm square enclosure placed centrally on the

floor of the experimental room. For animals 1–7, the enclosure was constructed from aluminum, while animals 8–13 experienced a Perspex environment; in all cases, the walls were 50 cm high. Directional information was provided by a clearly visible white cue displayed in a constant location. Before data collection, rats were familiarized with the enclosure over several days. All of the recording sessions analyzed here consisted of 10 or 20 min trials in a familiar environment, For rats 8–13, only the data from the first trial of the day were used, which was always run with the enclosure in a familiar configuration, unlike some of the later trials (see Barry et al., 2007). The tetrode movement protocol, spike waveforms and the locations of peak firing were used to ensure that each cell was submitted for analysis only once.

#### **Data Analysis**

# Relationship between EEG theta frequency and running speed

To characterize the dynamic relationship between theta frequency and running speed, a cycle-by-cycle approach was used to estimate momentary EEG frequencies. The recorded EEG signal was filtered using a 6-12 Hz, 251-tap, Blackman windowed, band-pass sinc (sine cardinal) filter. Windowing the filter achieves good stop-band attenuation and small pass-band ripple. An analytic signal was then constructed using the Hilbert transform and takes the form  $S_a(t_k) = S(t_k) + iH[S(t_k)]$ , where **H** specifies the Hilbert transform,  $S(t_k)$  is the filtered EEG signal,  $t_k = k\Delta$ , where  $k = 1, \dots, K$  indexes the time-step, and  $\Delta$  is the inverse of the sampling rate. The phase of the analytic signal  $\phi(t_k)$  gives the phase of the EEG at  $t_k$  and the difference in phase between each time point defines the frequency. Since the EEG sampling rate was five times that of position, instantaneous frequency was averaged over every five consecutive values corresponding to each position sample. Thus, concurrent measurements of speed and EEG theta frequency were produced every 20 ms. Figure 2 shows the mean frequency-speed relationship over all trials.

To quantify the linear relationship between theta frequency and speed in each trial, a regression line was fitted to the data from that trial corresponding to running speeds between 5 and 30 cm/s. This avoids non-theta behaviors at low speeds, such as rearing, chewing, and grooming, and very high speeds for which the linearity of the relationship appears to break down (see Fig. 2). In this way  $f_0$  [Eqs. (1) and (2)] was defined for each trial as the intercept of the regression line, i.e., EEG theta frequency extrapolated to 0 cm/s.

# Spike sorting and binning

Spike sorting was performed offline using a data analysis suite (Tint, Axona, St Albans, UK). Action potentials were assigned to putative cells based on amplitude, waveform, and temporal autocorrelation criteria applied elsewhere to entorhinal grid cells and hippocampal place cells (Barry et al., 2007). The animal's recorded positions and concomitant spikes were binned into a  $64 \times 64$  bin array covering the camera's field of view; each bin being the equivalent of  $8 \times 8$  pixels, roughly 2  $\times$  2 cm. Unsmoothed rate maps were calculated by dividing the number of spikes assigned to a bin by the cumulative occupancy of the bin. Smoothed rate maps were constructed as follows: the firing rate for bin *i* was the number of spikes in a 5  $\times$  5 kernel centered on *i*, divided by the cumulative occupancy of the same bins. A similar approach was used to construct 60 bin directional rate maps, which were then smoothed with a 5-bin kernel.

# Gridness, grid scale, and grid orientation

Spatial autocorrelograms of unsmoothed rate maps were used to assess the periodicity, regularity, and orientation of cells with multiple firing fields [see (Hafting et al., 2005; Sargolini et al., 2006)]. Specifically, the spatial autocorrelogram was defined as

$$r(\tau_x, \tau_y) = \frac{n \sum \lambda(x, y) \lambda(x - \tau_x, y - \tau_y) - \sum \lambda(x, y) \sum \lambda(x - \tau_x, y - \tau_y)}{\sqrt{n \sum \lambda(x, y)^2 - (\sum \lambda(x, y))^2} \sqrt{n \sum \lambda(x - \tau_x, y - \tau_y)^2 - (\sum \lambda(x - \tau_x, y - \tau_y))^2}}$$
(7)

where  $r(\tau_x, \tau_y)$  is the autocorrelation between bins with spatial offset of  $\tau_x$  and  $\tau_y$ ,  $\lambda(x,y)$  is the firing rate in bin at (x,y) and n is the number of bins over which the estimate was made. The autocorrelogram was then smoothed with a two-dimensional Gaussian kernel of width 2.5 bins. The six peaks surrounding the central peak on the autocorrelogram were considered to be the local maxima closest to, but excluding, the central peak. The extent of each peak was defined as the contiguous set of bins around the peak with a value greater than half the value of the peak bin. The spatial autocorrelograms, constructed from unsmoothed rate maps, were used to estimate the orientation, gridness, and grid scale of each cell, following (Hafting et al., 2005; Sargolini et al., 2006). The orientation of the grid was the angle between a nominal horizontal reference line and an axis defined by the center of the spatial autocorrelogram and the peak closest to the reference line in an anticlockwise direction. Grid scale was the median distance from the central peak to the six surrounding peaks. Gridness, a measure of spatial periodicity, was calculated by first defining a mask of the spatial autocorrelation centered on but excluding the central peak and bounded by a circle passing around the outside edge of the outermost of the six central peaks. Next, this area was rotated in  $30^{\circ}$  increments up to  $150^{\circ}$ , and for each rotation the Pearson product moment correlation coefficient was calculated against the unrotated mask. Gridness was then expressed as the lowest correlation obtained for rotations of  $60^\circ$  and  $120^{\circ}$  minus the highest correlation obtained at  $30^{\circ}$ ,  $90^{\circ}$ , or 150°. Cells with gridness of 0 or greater were classified as grid cells.

#### Directionality

The Rayleigh test for nonuniformity of a circular distribution was used to assess the extent to which each cell's firing was modulated by head direction. Specifically, the test statistic was calculated for each cell's directional rate map. A cell was considered to be directional if the test showed a significant departure from circularity at the P = 0.05 level, a value that provided good accordance with the experimenter's judgment.

#### Spectral analyses

Estimates of the intrinsic firing frequency ( $f_i$ ) were obtained from the spike-train of each cell during continuous 'runs' of at least 0.5s, in which the animal's speed consistently exceeded 5 cm/s. This minimum speed was chosen to exclude non-theta behaviors such as rearing, grooming, and chewing.

For analyses of the effect of running speed, *fast* and *slow* runs were defined as continuous periods of at least 0.5s, running at a speed between  $s_{\min}$  and  $s_{\max}$ . For *slow* runs  $s_{\min} = 5 \text{ cm/s}$  and  $s_{\max} = s_M$ ; for *fast* runs  $s_{\min} = s_M$  and  $s_{\max} = \infty$ , where  $s_M$  is the mean of the speeds at which spikes were fired when running at above 5 cm/s.

A cell's intrinsic firing frequency ( $f_i$ ) was estimated from the power spectrum of its spike train autocorrelogram. The spike-train for each run was used to construct a temporal autocorrelogram (bin width 2 ms), using 'unbiased' normalization by the number of bins at each lag. The average autocorrelogram was calculated from the autocorrelograms from each run, weighted by the duration of the run. The average autocorrelogram was truncated to the first 0.5 s, zero-padded to 2<sup>16</sup> elements, its power spectrum found, and  $f_i$  defined as the frequency of the peak in the power spectrum between 7 and 11 Hz (see Fig. 3).

The power spectrum of each cell's spike-train autocorrelogram was also used to assess the extent to which the cell's spiking was modulated by theta. Theta-modulated cells were defined as those with mean power within 1 Hz of the peak in the 7–11 Hz band that was at least 50% greater than the mean spectral power. We note that further processing of the autocorrelogram (reducing the initial peak and mean normalisation) would make the power spectral analysis more sensitive to theta rhythmicity.

The average theta frequency for the runs used in the estimation of intrinsic firing frequency,  $f_i$ , was also calculated for comparison. EEG segments corresponding to the runs were concatenated and zero-padded to  $2^{19}$  elements. Power spectra were constructed by performing a fast Fourier transform (FFT) on the resulting sequence, where the square-modulus of each



FIGURE 2. The dependence of theta frequency on running speed. (a) Theta frequency as a function of running speed, the mean frequency, and standard error is shown for the 24 trials in 13 rats, which entered the analyses. Linear regression of this curve between 5 and 30 cm/s gives the *y*-intercept as 8.28 Hz and the slope as  $0.02 \text{ cm/s}^2$ . (b) The distribution of running speeds during these trials.

Fourier frequency coefficient represents the signal power at that frequency. The zero-padding produces an interpolated discrete Fourier transform which artificially increases the resolution of the peak frequency, but does so in a way that is unbiased with respect to our hypotheses (e.g. regarding fast versus slow running). Power spectra were smoothed using a Gaussian kernel with standard deviation (SD) 0.2 Hz (results were robust to variations in kernel size and shape), and  $f_0$  was defined as the frequency of the peak in the power spectrum between 7 and 11 Hz.

#### Hippocampus

#### Histology

At the end of the experiment, all rats received either an overdose of Equithesin (rats 1–7) or Euthatal (rats 8–13) and were transcardially perfused first with phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and then with 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA) solution. The brains were removed and stored in 4% PFA for at least 1 week prior to sectioning. Thirty- to forty-micrometer frozen sagittal sections were cut, mounted on gelatin-coated glass slides, and stained with crestyl violet. High-resolution images were acquired using either an Olympus microscope and Xli digital camera (XL Imaging) or Zeiss Axioimager-Z1 microscope and were imported into Photoshop CS2 for PC (Adobe Systems). The depth and layer at which cells were acquired was extrapolated by reference to the record of tetrode movements after taking account of brain shrinkage.

# RESULTS

One hundred and twelve grid cells were recorded from 13 rats over 54 trials. All recordings were made while rats foraged in a familiar  $1 \text{ m} \times 1 \text{ m}$  square and included robust, concurrent EEG. Histology confirmed that all cells were located in superficial layers (II/III) of dorsolateral mEC, although it was not always possible to unequivocally distinguish which of those two layers, e.g., when the electrode track closely followed or cut across the Layer II/III boundary. Here, we focus on the nondirectional, theta-modulated grids typical of Layer II mEC (Sargolini et al., 2006; Hafting et al., 2008), which the oscillatory interference model was initially proposed to explain. We excluded from our analyses cells that exhibited poor theta modulation (n= 42) or showed directional firing (n = 20; see 'Methods' section for criteria). Finally, one trial exhibited an unusually high frequency theta rhythm (>11 Hz), which we attribute to nontheta 'flutter' generated outside the entorhinal cortex (Nerad and Bilkey, 2005), preventing estimation of  $f_0$ . The cells recorded on this trial were also rejected (n = 2). In total, 48 Putative Layer II grid cells from 24 trials were submitted to further analysis.

Our analysis of theta frequency versus running speed revealed a clear monotonic relationship, linear for low speeds and saturating at 30–40 cm/s (see Fig. 2). Regression on the data in each trial corresponding to speeds between 5 and 30 cm/s, revealed a mean intercept  $\langle f_0 \rangle = 8.275$  Hz and a mean slope  $\langle \beta \rangle = 0.02$  cm<sup>-1</sup> [see Eq. (2)]. The mean slope calculated in this manner estimates the mean  $\beta$  over all grid cells in the mEC. This mean slope would correspond to a grid scale of 56.5 cm, according to Eq. (6).

Our analysis used power spectra to estimate the mean intrinsic firing frequency of the grids  $(f_i)$  over runs conforming to specific behavioral criteria; the mean frequency of the theta oscillations in the EEG for these runs was also calculated for comparison  $(f_{\theta})$ . Only runs with duration  $\geq 0.5$ s in which the animals' velocity did not fall below 5 cm/s were considered.



FIGURE 3. The temporal characteristics of grid cell firing. Examples of raw EEG (top), EEG power spectra (upper middle), autocorrelogram power spectra (lower middle), and spike-train autocorrelograms (bottom) for all runs above 5 cm/s (first column) and for slow (second column) and fast runs (third column) for one trial. Right: Smoothed grid cell firing rate map (100  $\times$  100 cm<sup>2</sup> environment) (top) and raw traces showing the rat's path in

black and spike locations (bottom). Note that, consistent with the oscillatory interference model, the intrinsic firing frequency exceeds the theta frequency and by a greater amount during fast than slow runs (see arrows and gray shading). [Color figure can be viewed in the online issue, which is available at www.interscience. wiley.com.]

Inspection of the data for individual trials reveals that the EEG power spectra consistently show a strong peak in the theta range (7–11 Hz; for example, see Fig. 3), identified as the mean theta frequency ( $f_{\theta}$ ) for those runs. A similar peak is present in the power spectrum of the spike train autocorrelogram, identified as the intrinsic firing frequency ( $f_i$ ) for that grid cell during those runs. The intrinsic firing frequency was generally slightly higher than the concurrent theta frequency, and higher in *fast* runs than for *slow* runs (see Fig. 3).

The mean intrinsic firing frequency of grid cells with small, medium, and large grids over fast and slow runs is shown in Figure 4. The predicted value of intrinsic firing frequency was also calculated for each cell, using Eq. (1), given  $f_0$  from the plot of theta versus speed for each trial,  $\beta$  from the grid scale G via Eq. (6), and the mean running speed for the runs used. These are also shown in Figure 4, along with the mean theta frequency for the same runs for comparison. To combine data across trials and rats, all values are shown relative to the value of  $f_0$  for that trial (the dashed horizontal black line is shown at the mean value of  $f_0$  across trials for conversion into average absolute frequencies), as the absolute value of theta frequency varied somewhat across rats and trials.

The intrinsic firing frequencies, with  $f_0$  subtracted, were entered into a 3 × 2 analysis of variance (ANOVA) over grid scale (small, medium, large) and running speed (slow, fast). Intrinsic firing frequency was found to consistently increase with running speed (main effect of running speed:  $F_{1,2}$  =

47.72;  $P = 2 \times 10^{-8}$ ) and to decrease with grid size (main effect of grid size:  $F_{2,45} = 3.42$ , P = 0.04), with an interaction in the direction of larger speed-related increases for smaller grids, which did not reach significance ( $F_{2,45} = 1.95$ ; P = 0.15). The corresponding theta frequencies were entered into a similar analysis and showed a significant effect of running speed ( $F_{1,45} = 245.26$ ;  $P = 7 \times 10^{-20}$ ). Finally, the predicted and actual intrinsic firing frequencies were entered into a  $3 \times 2 \times 2$  ANOVA over grid scale, speed, and status (predicted, actual). There was no significant main effect of status, reflecting the good overall fit between the model and the data, and a non-significant interaction between status and speed reflecting the better fit for lower speeds ( $F_{1,45} = 2.89$ , P = 0.096).

Together, these results are consistent with the oscillatory interference model of grid cell firing: intrinsic firing frequency increasing with running speed and decreasing with grid size according to the quantitative prediction of Eq. (1). They are also consistent with the interpretation that theta frequency reflects the mean frequency of all of the VCOs. Thus, theta frequency increases with running speed, but not as fast as the frequencies of the VCOs driving the grid cell firing [see Fig. 4, Burgess (2008) and Eqs. (3)–(5)].

#### Analysis of the Moiré Interference Model

A related model (Blair et al., 2007) posits the existence of spatial grids of firing on a microscale (with firing fields sepa-



FIGURE 4. Grid cells' intrinsic firing frequency  $(f_i)$  depends on grid scale and running speed as predicted by the oscillatory interference model [Eq. (6)]. The mean intrinsic firing frequency is shown for slow runs (left) and fast runs (right) for grid cells with small (a), medium (b), and large (c) grids. Values are combined across trials by subtracting the value of  $f_0$  for each trial (i.e., values shown are relative to the dashed horizontal black line, which indicates  $f_0$ , and is shown at the mean  $f_0$  across the trials used). The corresponding values for theta frequency and predicted intrinsic frequency are also shown with each plot. The mean of the median speed in slow and fast runs is 12.4 and 28.2 cm/s, respectively. The mean scale of grids in the small, medium, and large divisions is 33.6, 44.1, and 53.4 cm (16 cells per division).

rated by the distance traveled per theta cycle). Superposition of microgrids with slightly different scale or orientation would produce a spatial (Moiré) interference pattern corresponding to the observed (large-scale) grid firing pattern. Under this model, a grid cell's intrinsic firing frequency would reflect the rat moving across the spatial microstructure of the interference pattern. Thus, the Moiré interference model predicts significantly higher intrinsic firing frequencies for runs aligned with the principal axes of the grid than for misaligned runs (by a factor  $\sqrt{3} \approx 1.73$ ) (see Fig. 5). In contrast, the oscillatory interference model predicts little difference between intrinsic firing frequency for aligned and misaligned runs [see (Burgess, 2008) for further details], other than that caused by any differences in running speed in those directions.

Figure 5 shows grid cells' intrinsic firing frequency during runs either aligned or misaligned with the axes of their gridlike firing pattern. Theta frequency during these runs is also shown for comparison. There was no significant difference in intrinsic firing frequency between aligned versus misaligned runs ( $t_{47} = 0.016$ , P = 0.45). These results are consistent with the oscillatory interference model (predicted frequencies also shown in Fig. 5), but not with the Moiré interference model.

# DISCUSSION

Grid cell firing and EEG were recorded from the superficial layers of mEC in freely moving rats. The intrinsic firing frequency for each grid cell during fast and slow runs was estimated, and results combined across trials and rats by subtracting  $f_0$  for each trial (the theta frequency extrapolated to zero speed). The results support the pattern predicted by the oscillatory interference model of grid cell firing (Burgess et al., 2007; Burgess, 2008). Specifically, spectral analyses showed that the intrinsic firing frequency of putative Layer II mEC grid cells increases with running speed and decreases with grid scale according to Eq. (1). In addition, theta frequency increased with running speed at a slower rate than intrinsic firing frequency, consistent with the interpretation that it reflects the combined frequency of all VCOs in mEC, while grid cell firing is driven by the local VCOs whose preferred directions match the current running direction. Dorsoventral variation in the slope of the local VCO response to depolarization ( $\beta$ ) causes the dorsoventral variation in grid scale (Hafting et al., 2005; Brun et al., 2008), according to Eq. (6).

Our results specifically support the 'directional' or 'rectified' implementation of the model in which only VCOs with frequencies above baseline (i.e., with preferred directions consistent with the current running direction) drive the firing of the grid cell [see Eqs. (3)–(5) and (Burgess et al., 2007; Burgess, 2008)]. This implementation is also consistent with the recently observed phase precession in Layer II grid cells as the rat runs on a linear track (Hafting et al., 2008), i.e., firing always shifting from later to earlier phases. Thus, for Layer II at least, we can reject the implementation in which the VCO inputs driving a grid cell



FIGURE 5. Effect of running direction on grid cells' intrinsic firing frequency (aligned or misaligned to the grid). (a) Predicted increase in intrinsic firing frequency by a factor  $\sqrt{3}$  for aligned versus misaligned runs, according to the Moiré interference model. (b) Data. Intrinsic firing frequency was calculated separately for runs aligned or misaligned with the grid. Values are combined across trials by subtracting the value of  $f_0$  for each trial (the dashed horizontal black line, which is shown at the mean value of  $f_0$  across trials for conversion into average absolute frequencies). There is no sign of the prediction of the Moiré interference model. The corresponding values for theta frequency and the oscillatory interference model's predicted intrinsic frequency are also shown with each plot. [Color figure can be viewed in the online issue, which is available at www.interscience.wiley.com.]

oscillate both above and below the baseline frequency according to the match between the current running direction and the preferred direction, which is also consistent with Eq. 3 [see (Burgess, 2008) for further discussion].

These results also support the interpretation that theta frequency is not an independent variable, but reflects the mean frequency of all of the VCOs. Thus, theta frequency increases with running speed, but not as fast as the frequencies of those VCOs driving the grid cell, i.e., those with preferred directions close to the current direction of running [see Eqs. (2)-(5) and Burgess (2008)]. This interpretation is consistent with the observation that the movement-related component of theta, but not the atropine-sensitive component, is reduced by lesions of EC (Kramis et al., 1975). Of course, the frequency of movement-related theta reflects an interaction between EC, hippocampus, and, critically, the medial septum [see (Buzsaki, 2002; O'Keefe, 2006; Burgess, 2008) for further discussion]. According to the model, the slope of the relationship between theta frequency and running speed indicates the mean value of  $\beta$ within the mEC, and we observed a value of  $\langle \beta \rangle = 0.0204$  $cm^{-1}$  over the range of 5–30 cm/s (see Fig. 2). This corresponds to a median grid scale of 56.5 cm, which is close to the small end of the range of observed grid scales (30 cm to at least 4 m; Brun et al., 2008). If the model of theta as the mean baseline frequency of all grid cells is correct [Eqs. (2) and (5)], then the observed value of  $\langle \beta \rangle$  indicates that there must be proportionately more grid cells with small grids than with large ones [see Burgess (2008)]. This would be consistent with efficient coding strategies (see, e.g., Fiete et al., 2008), and with indications that grid scale increases in exponential steps (each grid 1.7 times larger than the last; Barry et al., 2007, but see also Brun et al., 2008).

Our results are consistent with similar findings in place cells, namely a dependence of intrinsic firing frequency on place field size (Maurer et al., 2005) and running speed (Geisler et al., 2007). They are also consistent with previous findings of a dependence of theta frequency on running speed (Rivas et al., 1996; Slawinska and Kasicki, 1998). Thus our results, and indeed the model's predictions, are consistent with phase precession in Layer II mEC grid cells being a 2D phenomenon. This suggests that Hafting et al.'s (2008) recent characterization of phase precession in these cells, based on experiments run on a linear track, can likely be extended to 2D in a similar way as phase precession in place cells was extended from 1D (O'Keefe and Recce, 1993) to 2D (Burgess et al., 1994; Skaggs et al., 1996; Huxter et al., 2008).

Our results also raise some questions: (i) Does the nonlinear relationship between theta frequency and running speed at speeds above 30 cm/s (see Fig. 2) reflect actual fast movements during the pellet-chasing task rather than resulting from, e.g., head-shaking? If so, can Eq. (3) remain true so that spatially stable grid cell firing would be maintained during these movements, and does such stability exist in the data? The only differences we could find in the data from the London and Trondheim groups was that theta frequency increased linearly with running speed over a wider range of speeds in the Trondheim data (up to 50 cm/s, cf. saturating at around 30 cm/s in the London data), and correspondingly slightly higher intrinsic firing rates for 'fast' runs. These may reflect differences in behavior or the tracking of behavior, or of physiology between the Hooded Lister and Long Evans strains used in London and Tronheim. (ii) Do our two components of theta frequency,  $f_0$ and  $\langle \beta \rangle s(t)$  [see Eq. (2)], correspond to the well-known atropine-sensitive, arousal-related, and atropine-resistant, movement-related components (Buzsaki, 2002; O'Keefe, 2006), as suggested by Burgess (2008)? If so, how can other contributions to theta be accommodated, since behavioral variables other than speed contribute to movement-related theta, such as acceleration and preparation for acceleration (Whishaw and Vanderwolf, 1973; Lenck-Santini et al., 2008)?

One limitation of our analysis is that we have to categorize behavior rather crudely to produce enough runs of at least 0.5 s of behavior continuously within each category to be able to form a robust autocorrelogram for each category. Thus, we are restricted to dividing runs into 'slow' or 'fast,' rather than performing more fine-grained analyses. However, we could attempt to predict each grid's spatial scale from the frequency data in Figure 4 by estimating  $\beta$  as the rate of change in intrinsic frequency with speed and hence estimate grid scale G via Eq. (6). One problem is that differences between noisy estimates of frequency are even noisier, and inverting them [Eq. (6)] can produce infinite or even negative values for G. We used the three measures of frequency for each cell ( $f_i$  for slow and fast runs, and  $f_0$ , which should correspond to  $f_i$  at zero speed) to produce three estimates of  $\beta$  (one for each pair of values) for each of the 48 grid cells. We discarded estimated values  $\beta$  corresponding to grid scales more than twice the size of the environment (200 cm; of the  $3 \times 48$ = 144 estimates of  $\beta$ , 17 below 2/(200 $\sqrt{3}$ ) were discarded, including 11 below zero). Figure 6 shows the spatial scale predicted from the mean of the two or three remaining estimates of  $\beta$  for each grid cell (two cells with one or no remaining estimates were discarded, leaving n = 46).

The measures of intrinsic frequency are clearly highly variable, but, outlying values excluded, it is possible to make a prediction of grid scale from these frequencies (r = 0.46, P =0.001). However, the strength of the correlation appears to be sensitive to the details of the analysis used, so that interpreting this finding will require further work. It is not clear to what extent the high variability in intrinsic firing frequencies reflects measurement error, 'real' neuronal noise, the problems of averaging over relatively crude categories of behavior, or departures of grid cell firing from the model. See Hasselmo (2008) for discussion of noisy MPO frequencies in vitro, and alternative mechanisms for regular spiking. There are also undoubtedly influences on grid scale other than the oscillatory interference path integration mechanism proposed for mEC. Sensory environmental information must provide a spatial reset to any path integration mechanism to avoid the accumulation of error. We have proposed that, in familiar environments, this takes the form of a phase-resetting input from place cells via synaptic connections that form between place cells and grid cells with overlapping firing fields (O'Keefe and Burgess, 2005; Burgess et al., 2007). See Burgess et al. (2007) for simulation of correction of path integration within a familiar environment by phase-resetting of grid cells by place cells within the oscillatory interference model. In support of this proposal, deformation of the boundary of a familiar environment deforms the spatial firing pattern of grid cells (Barry et al., 2007) in a manner consistent with the deformation of the spatial firing pattern of place cells under this manipulation (O'Keefe and Burgess, 1996).



FIGURE 6. Predicting a grid cell's spatial scale from the frequency data in Figure 4. For each cell (n = 46),  $\beta$  is calculated from rates of change of intrinsic firing frequency with speed, using  $f_0$  and  $f_i$  for slow and for fast runs (see 'Discussion' section), translated into grid scale using Eq. (6) and plotted on the y-axis against measured grid scale (see 'Methods' section). The gray dashed line shows the line of best fit through the data, with a gradient of 0.8 and intercept of -5 (r = 0.46, P = 0.001).

Future progress will require the development of more sophisticated analyses, of experimental paradigms capable of manipulating the frequencies of the relevant oscillators, and of the model itself. One limitation of the current model is that it is a single-cell model. While this is a good place to start and has advantages of simplicity, we do not doubt the presence of network properties, e.g., in generating theta, or in the clustering of grid orientations and scales (Barry et al., 2006). The role of interconnectivity between grid cells is the focus of the alternative, attractor, models of grid cell firing (Fuhs and Touretzky, 2006; McNaughton et al., 2006). The oscillatory interference model may be complementary to these models in that it might provide the initial firing pattern required to allow the formation of appropriate recurrent connectivity to stabilize grids relative to other grids (Fuhs and Touretzky, 2006; McNaughton et al., 2006) or relative to the environment (via connectivity with place cells: Burgess et al., 2007). A related limitation of the model of theta is that it does not include critical systems-level interactions with the medial septum or hippocampus. See Burgess (2008) and Blair et al. (2008) for further discussion.

We cannot say whether or not our results *uniquely* support the oscillatory interference model, as other models do not offer testable predictions for the variables we have measured. However, we can say that the oscillatory interference model has been uniquely useful in driving forward the experimental program reported here, as it did in the study reported by (Giocomo et al., 2007).

In conclusion, the oscillatory interference model correctly predicts the intrinsic firing frequency of grid cells across relatively coarse categorizations of running speed and grid scale. More generally, our findings support a novel model of neuronal firing and theta, based on interfering oscillatory processes, extending results in hippocampus (O'Keefe and Recce, 1993; Lengyel et al., 2003; Maurer et al., 2005; Geisler et al., 2007) to entorhinal neocortex.

#### Acknowledgments

We gratefully acknowledge the sharing of grid cell data by May-Britt and Edvard Moser, the help and facilities provided by Kate Jeffery and the Institute of Behavioral Neuroscience, UCL, statistical help from Chris Bird, and the support of the SpaceBrain and Wayfinding projects of the EU, and of the MRC and Wellcome Trust, UK.

# REFERENCES

- Alonso A, Klink R. 1993. Differential electroresponsiveness of stellate and pyramidal-like cells of medial entorhinal cortex layer II. J Neurophysiol 70:128–143.
- Barry C, Lever C, Hayman R, Hartley T, Burton S, O'Keefe J, Jeffery K, Burgess N. 2006. The boundary vector cell model of place cell firing and spatial memory. Rev Neurosci 17(1/2):71–97.
- Barry C, Hayman R, Burgess N, Jeffery KJ. 2007. Experience-dependent rescaling of entorhinal grids. Nat Neurosci 10:682–684.
- Blair HT, Welday AC, Zhang K. 2007. Scale-invariant memory representations emerge from Moire interference between grid fields that produce theta oscillations: A computational model. J Neurosci 27:3211–3229.
- Blair HT, Gupta K, Zhang K. 2008. Conversion of a phase- to a ratecoded position signal by a three-stage model of theta cells, grid cells, and place cells. Hippocampus 18:1239–1255.
- Brun VH, Solstad T, Kjelstrup KG, Fyhn M, Witter MP, Moser EI, Moser M-B. 2008. Progressive increase in grid scale from dorsal to ventral medial entorhinal cortex. Hippocampus 18:1200–1212.
- Burgess N. 2008. Grid cells and theta as oscillatory interference: Theory and predictions. Hippocampus 18:1157–1174.
- Burgess N, Recce M, O'Keefe J. 1994. A model of hippocampal function. Neural Netw 7:1065–1081.
- Burgess N, Barry C, O'Keefe J. 2007. An oscillatory interference model of grid cell firing. Hippocampus 17:801–812.
- Buzsaki G. 2002. Theta oscillations in the hippocampus. Neuron 33: 325–340.
- Fiete IR, Burak Y, Brookings T. 2008. What grid cells convey about rat location. J Neurosci 28:6858–6871.
- Fuhs MC, Touretzky DS. 2006. A spin glass model of path integration in rat medial entorhinal cortex. J Neurosci 26:4266–4276.
- Fyhn M, Hafting T, Treves A, Moser MB, Moser EI. 2007. Hippocampal remapping and grid realignment in the entorhinal cortex. Nature 446:190–194.
- Geisler C, Robbe D, Zugaro M, Sirota A, Buzsaki G. 2007. Hippocampal place cell assemblies are speed-controlled oscillators. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 104:8149–8154.
- Giocomo LM, Hasselmo ME. 2008. Computation by oscillations: Implications of experimental data for theoretical models of grid cells. Hippocampus 18:1186–1199.
- Giocomo LM, Zilli EA, Fransen E, Hasselmo ME. 2007. Temporal frequency of subthreshold oscillations scales with entorhinal grid cell field spacing. Science 315:1719–1722.
- Hafting T, Fyhn M, Moser M, Moser EI. 2005. Microstructure of a spatial map in the entorhinal cortex. Nature 436:801–806.

- Hafting T, Fyhn M, Bonnevie T, Moser M, Moser EI. 2008. Phase precession in entorhinal grid cells. Nature 453:1248–1252.
- Hasselmo ME. 2008. Grid cell mechanisms and function: Contributions of entorhinal persistent spiking and phase resetting. Hippocampus 18:1213–1229.
- Huxter JR, Senior TJ, Allen K, Csicsvari J. 2008. Theta phase-specific codes for two-dimensional position, trajectory and heading in the hippocampus. Nat Neurosci 11:587–594.
- Jensen O, Lisman JE. 2000. Position reconstruction from an ensemble of hippocampal place cells: Contribution of theta phase coding. J Neurophysiol 83:2602–2609.
- Kamondi A, Acsady L, Wang XJ, Buzsaki G. 1998. Theta oscillations in somata and dendrites of hippocampal pyramidal cells in vivo: Activity-dependent phase-precession of action potentials. Hippocampus 8:244–261.
- Kramis R, Vanderwolf CH, Bland BH. 1975. Two types of hippocampal rhythmical slow activity in both the rabbit and the rat: Relations to behavior and effects of atropine, diethyl ether, urethane, and pentobarbital. Exp Neurol 49:58–85.
- Lenck-Santini PP, Fenton AA, Muller RU. 2008. Discharge properties of hippocampal neurons during performance of a jump avoidance task. J Neurosci 28:6773–6786.
- Lengyel M, Szatmary Z, Erdi P. 2003. Dynamically detuned oscillations account for the coupled rate and temporal code of place cell firing. Hippocampus 13:700–714.
- Maurer AP, Vanrhoads SR, Sutherland GR, Lipa P, McNaughton BL. 2005. Self-motion and the origin of differential spatial scaling along the septo-temporal axis of the hippocampus. Hippocampus 15:841–852.
- McNaughton BL, Battaglia FP, Jensen O, Moser EI, Moser MB. 2006. Path integration and the neural basis of the 'cognitive map'. Nat Rev Neurosci 7:663–678.
- Moser EI, Moser MB. 2008. A metric for space. Hippocampus 18: 1142–1156.
- Nerad L, Bilkey DK. 2005. Ten to 12 Hz EEG oscillation in the rat hippocampus and rhinal cortex that is modulated by environmental familiarity. J Neurophysiol 93:1246–1254.
- O'Keefe J. 2006. Hippocampal neurophysiology in the behaving animal. In: Andersen P, Morris RGM, Amaral DG, Bliss TVP, O'Keefe J, editors. The Hippocampus Book (Oxford Neuroscience Series). Oxford: Oxford University Press. pp 475–548.
- O'Keefe J, Burgess N. 2005. Dual phase and rate coding in hippocampal place cells: Theoretical significance and relationship to entorhinal grid cells. Hippocampus 15:853–866.
- O'Keefe J, Burgess N. 1996. Geometric determinants of the place fields of hippocampal neurons. Nature 381:425–428.
- O'Keefe J, Recce ML. 1993. Phase relationship between hippocampal place units and the EEG theta rhythm. Hippocampus 3:317–330.
- Recce ML, O'Keefe J. 1989. The tetrode: A new technique for multiunit extra-cellular recording. Soc Neurosci 15:1250
- Rivas J, Gaztelu JM, Garcia-Austt E. 1996. Changes in hippocampal cell discharge patterns and theta rhythm spectral properties as a function of walking velocity in the guinea pig. Exp Brain Res 108:113–118.
- Sargolini F, Fyhn M, Hafting T, McNaughton BL, Witter MP, Moser MB, Moser EI. 2006. Conjunctive representation of position, direction, and velocity in entorhinal cortex. Science 312:758–762.
- Skaggs WE, McNaughton BL, Wilson MA, Barnes CA. 1996. Theta phase precession in hippocampal neuronal populations and the compression of temporal sequences. Hippocampus 6:149–172.
- Slawinska U, Kasicki S. 1998. The frequency of rat's hippocampal theta rhythm is related to the speed of locomotion. Brain Res 796:327–331.
- Whishaw IQ, Vanderwolf CH. 1973. Hippocampal EEG and behavior: Changes in amplitude and frequency of RSA (theta rhythm) associated with spontaneous and learned movement patterns in rats and cats. Behav Biol 8:461–484.